

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO). FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/772,726	(02/04/2004	Terry-Lee M. Fritz	200311549-1	5629		
22879	7590	10/20/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER		
		RD COMPANY	EVANISKO, LESLIE J				
	•	4 E. HARMONY R OPERTY ADMINIS	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
FORT CO	LLINS, CO	80527-2400	2854				
			DATE MAIL ED: 10/20/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary		10/772,72	26	FRITZ ET AL.	1				
		Examiner	· · -	Art Unit					
		Leslie J. E		2854					
- Period for	- The MAILING DATE of this communication ap r Reply	ppears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress				
THE N - Extens after S - If the I - If NO - Failure Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut toply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing at patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no eve ply within the statu i will apply and wi te, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be tim story minimum of thirty (30) days Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 f</u>	February 200	<u>)4</u> .						
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	is action is n	on-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositio	on of Claims								
5)□ (6)⊠ (7)□ (Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application	on Papers		-						
10) [The specification is objected to by the Examina The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acceptable and a second a second and a second a second and	cepted or b)[e drawing(s) b ction is require	e held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CF	` '				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachment	• •		_						
1) Notice 2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da						
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 No(s)/Mail Date 02-04-2004.	3)	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:)-152)				

Art Unit: 2854

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35
 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1-14, 16, and 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Beck (GB 1 407 065). Beck teaches a method of marking an article comprising selecting a first light condition for view an article, printing first and second colored markings on the article, wherein the markings are metameric and have the same color appearance under the first light condition.

With respect to claims 3-5 and 19-20, note Beck teaches a color printer including printing inks of metameric pairs in which one of the inks may be a conventional ink or colour constant ink (i.e., process ink as defined in the specification) and the other ink is a metameric ink (i.e., spot ink as defined in the specification)--see page 2, lines 70-75 and page 4, lines 1-15 of Beck in particular.

With respect to claim 6, Beck teaches printing the markings adjacent to each other in page 3, lines 56-62.

With respect to claim 7, Beck teaches choosing different light conditions (i.e., daylight vs. tungsten filament lamp) in lines 66-92 of page 3, for example and also teaches a plurality of metameric ink pairs can be used in lines 24-33 of page 4.

With respect to claims 9-11, note Beck teaches a fabric or paper article including metameric print markings as recited.

With respect to claims 12-13, note that claims 9-13 are considered to be product-by-process claims. As set forth in the MPEP, product by process claims are drawn to the product per se and how the product was made is of no patentable significance to these claims. Therefore, since a third marking on the product are capable of being made by either the same or a different printer that printed the first and second markings, Beck broadly meets the claim language as recited.

With respect to claim 14, Beck teaches an article comprising first and second markings that are metameric and have the same color under a first light condition and second and third markings that are metameric and have the same color appearance under a second light condition.

With respect to claim 16, note Beck teaches a paper article having first and second colored markings thereon that are metameric.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,726 Page 4

Art Unit: 2854

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 5. Claims 15 and 17-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Beck (GB 1 407 065) in view of Hauser et al. (US 6,013,307). Beck teaches a method and article as recited with the possible exception of the light condition being copier light in particular. However, the use of copier light as the lighting condition with metameric inks/security documents is well known in the art as exemplified by Hauser et al. In view of this teaching, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to use metameric

Application/Control Number: 10/772,726 Page 5

Art Unit: 2854

inks with photocopier light as the lighting condition such that the first and second markings printed have either the same or different color appearance under copier lighting, to insure documents that are readily photocopied can not be passed off as original documents.

Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. DT 23 47 836 A1 teaches a process and product using metameric inks having obvious similarities to the claimed subject matter.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Leslie J. Evanisko** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-2161**. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:30 am-6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Andrew H. Hirshfeld can be reached on (571) 272-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,726

Art Unit: 2854

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cestii Evando Leslie J. Evanisko Primary Examiner Art Unit 2854 Page 6

lje October 8, 2004